The Central Issue
Experience to date suggests that several million manholes in North America are suffering from serious deterioration and structural degradation. Because it is not economical or feasible to replace all of the deteriorated manholes, there is a large market in no-dig manhole rehabilitation. Yet, determining the most feasible and economical rehabilitation material and method is often a challenge for wastewater utilities and consulting engineers.

Context and Background
Gravity wastewater and stormwater collection systems are comprised of sewer pipes, manholes, and transmission components consisting of lift stations and force mains for sanitary sewers. In the U.S. alone, the number of manholes is estimated to be around 20 million. Of those, it is estimated that four million are at least 50 years old and another five million are in the 30-50-year old range.

There are numerous materials and methods available for manhole rehabilitation. To date, there have been a number of research studies on pipeline rehabilitation, but manholes are somewhat overlooked, although they can be the main source of inflow (rainwater entry into the wastewater collection systems). There are very limited studies, yet numerous options to rehabilitate manholes. Consequently, this research sought to evaluate the structural capabilities of available and emerging manhole rehabilitation materials and methods to provide a decision support tool system for manhole rehabilitation.

The researchers conducted a literature review, interviewed experts, performed mechanical tests on manhole linings, compiled case studies, conducted a computational analysis with finite element method, and developed a decision support tool. Ten participating utilities provided 11 case histories or comments regarding their manhole rehabilitation experience. These utilities were:

- Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, Illinois
- City of Rowlett, Texas
- Sewerage and Water Board of New Orleans (via MWH Global)
- Village of Palmyra, Illinois (via Benton & Associates, Inc.)
- Johnson County Wastewater, Kansas (two case histories)
- Orange County Sanitation District, California
- Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility, Alaska
- Village of New Lenox, Illinois
- New Castle County, Delaware (via Arcadis)
- Sarasota County, Florida

Findings and Conclusions
The researchers’ most significant finding was that fully structural (standalone) methods are not needed to repair the majority of manholes. Semi- or non-structural rehabilitation methods are effective for inflow and infiltration (I & I) removal at a lower cost. The researchers also found that each manhole is different; therefore use of the decision support tool developed in this research is recommended. Further, sound engineering and thorough technical specifications are crucial in implementing successful manhole rehabilitation.

Management and Policy Implications
Utility managers may realize considerable cost savings using the findings of this research. Manholes that have deteriorated and allowed I & I to breach can result in catastrophic consequences such as a sinkhole. Semi- or non-structural manhole rehabilitation can reduce costs and mitigate risk of failure.
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